

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

ISRAEL WILLIAMS, JR., ID # 1163553,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
vs.	)	No. 3:07-CV-1939-L
	)	ECF
NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN, Director,	)	
Texas Department of Criminal	)	
Justice, Correctional Institutions Division,	)	
Respondent.	)	

**ORDER OF THE COURT ON RECOMMENDATION  
REGARDING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY**

Considering the record in this case and the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253 (c), the Court hereby finds and orders:


**IFP STATUS:**

- (X) the party appealing is GRANTED *in forma pauperis* status on appeal.
- ( ) the party appealing is DENIED *in forma pauperis* status on appeal for the following reasons:
  - ( ) the Court certifies, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (a)(3), that the appeal is not taken in good faith. In support of this finding, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation entered in this case on \_\_\_\_\_. Based upon the Magistrate Judge's findings, this Court finds that the appeal presents no legal points of arguable merit and is therefore frivolous. *See Harkins v. Roberts*, 935 F. Supp. 871, 873 (S.D. Miss. 1996) (citing *Howard v. King*, 707 F. 2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983)).
  - ( ) the person appealing has not complied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and /or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by the Court.

**COA:**

- ( ) a Certificate of Appealability is GRANTED on the following issues: \_\_\_\_\_
- (X) a Certificate of Appealability is DENIED. The Court hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation entered in this case on **May 8, 2008**, which the district court accepted on **June 30, 2008**, in support of its finding that the habeas corpus petition is barred by the statute of limitations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d); *Sonnier v. Johnson*, 161 F.3d 941, 943-44 (5th Cir. 1998). Petitioner has failed to show that reasonable jurists would find it debatable whether the Court was correct in dismissing his cas as time barred. *See Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 338 (2003); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

DATE: July 29, 2008

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sam A. Lindsay". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping loop at the end of the word "Lindsay".

Sam A. Lindsay  
United States District Judge